

राजीव कृष्णा, IPS
पुलिस महानिदेशक एवं
राज्य पुलिस प्रमुख, उत्तर प्रदेश



मुख्यालय पुलिस महानिदेशक, उ०प्र०

सिग्नेचर बिल्डिंग
शहीद पथ, गोमती नगर विस्तार,
लखनऊ - 226002

फोन नं 0522-2724003 / 2390240, फैक्स नं. 0522-2724009

सीयूजी नं. 9454400101

ई-मेल : police.up@nic.in

वेबसाइट : https://uppolice.gov.in

दिनांक: लखनऊ: जुलाई 11, 2026

विषय: क्रिमिनल मिस. बेल अप्लीकेशन संख्या-11476/2026 मेवालाल प्रजापति बनाम उ०प्र० राज्य व अन्य में मा० उच्च न्यायालय इलाहाबाद द्वारा पारित आदेश दिनांकित 07.05.2026 के अनुपालन में आरोप पत्र में अभियुक्त और गवाहों के सत्यापित व्हाट्सएप, ईमेल आदि का विवरण अंकित करने के सम्बन्ध में निर्देश।

प्रिय महोदय/महोदया,

कृपया आप सभी अवगत हों कि विषयांकित रिट याचिका में मा० उच्च न्यायालय इलाहाबाद द्वारा पारित आदेश दिनांक 07.05.2026 (छायाप्रति संलग्न) में विवेचना के उपरान्त सीसीटीएनएस साफ्टवेयर के माध्यम से तैयार किये जा रहे आरोप पत्र में ई-प्रोसेस नियम, 2026 के नियम-8 के अनुसार अभियुक्तों व गवाहों के सत्यापित ईमेल, मैसेजिंग एप्लीकेशन (जैसे व्हाट्सएप, टेलीग्राम और फेसबुक मेसेंजर आदि), मो०नं० आदि का विवरण अंकित करने हेतु सभी विवेचनाधिकारियों को निर्देश निर्गत करने हेतु अधोहस्ताक्षरी को निम्नवत निर्देशित किया गया है—

viii. DGP shall issue necessary directions to all the police officers involved in the investigation to record the verified email, messaging applications (WhatsApp, Telegram and Facebook Messenger, etc.) and the mobile number of the accused and witnesses during the investigation and shall mention these verified details in the chargesheet apart from entering in CCTNS as per Rule 8 of E-Processes Rules, 2026.

2- अतः आप सभी को निर्देशित किया जाता है कि मा० उच्च न्यायालय द्वारा पारित आदेश का अनुपालन कराते हुये अपने अधीनस्थ समस्त विवेचनाधिकारियों एवं पर्यवेक्षण अधिकारियों को निर्देशित करें कि वह उ०प्र० इलेक्ट्रानिक आदेशिका (निर्गतीकरण, तामीला तथा निष्पादन) नियम, 2026 के नियम-8 के अनुसार विवेचना के उपरान्त सीसीटीएनएस साफ्टवेयर के माध्यम से तैयार किये जा रहे आरोप पत्र में अभियुक्तों और गवाहों के सत्यापित मोबाइल नंबर, ईमेल आदि अंकित करने के उपरान्त ही आरोप पत्र मा० न्यायालय प्रेषित करना सुनिश्चित करें।

यदि किसी अधिकारी/कर्मचारी द्वारा इन निर्देशों के अनुपालन में शिथिलता बरती जाती है तो उनके विरुद्ध नियमानुसार आवश्यक कार्यवाही की जाय।

संलग्नक: यथोपरि

भवदीय

(राजीव कृष्णा)

1. समस्त पुलिस आयुक्त, उत्तर प्रदेश।
2. समस्त वरिष्ठ पुलिस अधीक्षक/पुलिस अधीक्षक, प्रभारी जनपद/रेलवेज, उत्तर प्रदेश।

प्रतिलिपि-निम्नांकित अधिकारियों को सूचनार्थ एवं उपरोक्तानुसार आवश्यक कार्यवाही हेतु प्रेषित:-

- 1-पुलिस महानिदेशक, रेलवेज, उत्तर प्रदेश।
- 2-अपर पुलिस महानिदेशक, कानून एवं व्यवस्था, उत्तर प्रदेश।
- 3-समस्त जोनल अपर पुलिस महानिदेशक, उत्तर प्रदेश।
- 4-पुलिस महानिरीक्षक, लोक शिकायत, उत्तर प्रदेश।
- 5-समस्त परिक्षेत्रीय पुलिस महानिरीक्षक/पुलिस उपमहानिरीक्षक, उत्तर प्रदेश।



2028:AHK:105379

A.F.R.

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT ALLAHABAD
CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 11476 of 2026

Mevalal Prajapati

.....Applicant(s)

Versus

State of U.P.

.....Opposite
Party(s)

Counsel for Applicant(s)	:	Dinesh Mishra
Counsel for Opposite Party(s)	:	G.A.

Court No. - 67

HON'BLE ARUN KUMAR SINGH DESHWAL, J.

1. Heard Sri Dinesh Mishra, learned counsel for the applicant, Sri Roshan Kumar Singh, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

2. Instant bail application has been filed with a prayer to release the applicant on bail during the trial in Case Crime no. 290/2025, under Sections 103(1), 238, 309(6), 317(2), Bhartiya Nyay Sanhita (B.N.S.), Police Station-Husenganj, District Fatehpur.

3. This matter was heard on 07.04.2026, 15.04.2026 and 24.04.2026. On that date, the Court found that though a blood-stained screw driver was sent to FSL examination, no query was made by the I.O. whether the blood found on the screw driver belonged to the deceased. Therefore, this Court directed the Director, FSL, to appear before it to assist the Court vide order dated 24.04.2026, and the matter was posted for 29.04.2026. In pursuance of the order dated 24.04.2026, the Director, FSL U.P., Lko, was present on 29.04.2026. On that date, the Director FSL informed the Court that though the DNA profile can be generated within 3 to 4 days if blood sample is fresh and even DNA profile can also be generated from disintegrated blood sample, if the same was collected carefully and high-end instruments are available in the lab and at present FSLs in UP are facing problem not only the shortage of staff but also of latest machines required for forensic and ballistic test. It was also informed by the Director, FSL, that though 12 FSLs have been functioning in the State of U.P., only 8 FSLs have the facility to generate a DNA profile. It was also informed by the Director, FSL, U.P.,

vi. DGP, UP, shall issue directions to all District Police Chiefs, including the Commissioner of Police, to attend the monthly Monitoring Cell Meeting under the chairmanship of the concerned District Judge personally.

vii DGP shall issue directions to all investigating officers to make a query from FSLs regarding the matching of the DNA of blood found on the blood-stained weapon and cloth with the DNA of the accused and deceased, while sending the blood samples to FSLs.

viii. DGP shall issue necessary directions to all the police officers involved in the investigation to record the verified email, messaging applications (WhatsApp, Telegram and Facebook Messenger, etc.) and the mobile number of the accused and witnesses during the investigation and shall mention these verified details in the chargesheet apart from entering in CCTNS as per Rule 8 of E-Processes Rules, 2026.

ix. Police shall implement as soon as possible, using the Speech-to-Text AI module to record the statement of witnesses under Section 180 BNSS.

x. DGP shall also consider issuing DGP circular to all police officers, mentioning therein that negligence in execution of court processes may attract disciplinary proceedings as required by Rule 31 (1) of BNSS Rules, 2024.

xi. Judicial officers are also directed to send e-summons, e-warrants and other court processes as per BNSS Rules, 2024 as well as E-Processes Rules, 2026 and also consume e-FIR and e-chargesheet as per mandates of BNSS, 2023.

27. Coming back to the merits of the case, learned counsel for the applicant submitted that, as per the prosecution's story, the deceased was missing since 16.10.2025, and a missing report was also lodged by the brother of the deceased on 17.05.2025 in the form of an FIR. Thereafter, on the basis of CDR location, he was arrested on 19.10.2025, and on his pointing out, the e-rickshaw of the deceased was recovered. Thereafter, on pointing out the applicant, a blood-stained screw driver was also recovered on 20.10.2025, which was sent for FSL examination and as per the FSL report, human blood was found thereon. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted that there is no eye witness of the incident, and he has been falsely implicated merely